## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS WACO DIVISION

VOIP-PAL.COM, INC.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:21-cv-00674 -ADA

Plaintiff,

v.

T-MOBILE USA, INC.,

Defendant.

## PLAINTIFF VOIP-PAL.COM'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT T-MOBILE'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL FACTS RELEVANT TO ITS MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

Plaintiff VoIP-Pal.com, Inc. ("VoIP-Pal") respectfully submits this Response to Defendant T-Mobile's Notice of Supplemental Facts Relevant to Its Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs.

T-Mobile's Notice is improper because T-Mobile failed to seek leave of court before filing it. Local Rule CV-7(e) provides that after a reply brief is filed, "[a]bsent leave of court, no further submissions on the motion are allowed." Accordingly, the Court should not consider T-Mobile's Notice in ruling on T-Mobile's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs.

Moreover, T-Mobile's Notice concerns facts regarding litigations not before this Court that are irrelevant to T-Mobile's Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Costs. VoIP-Pal's antitrust complaint in the District of Columbia addresses wholly different legal and factual grounds from the instant case. As stated in VoIP-Pal's Opposition to T-Mobile's Motion, T-Mobile fails to show how VoIP-Pal's conduct in any of its other litigations is somehow relevant to this case. *See* Dkt. No. 289 at 18. T-Mobile's claim that VoIP-Pal's allegations in the antitrust case are

Document 299 Filed 01/23/25 Case 6:21-cv-00674-ADA Page 2 of 4

baseless is unfounded. The court in the antitrust case has not held as much and it is not this

Court's job to decide the merits of that case.

Finally, T-Mobile's reliance on VoIP-Pal's CEO Emil Malak's public statements is

misplaced because those statements are irrelevant to the instant case. Contrary to what T-Mobile

suggests, Mr. Malak did not opine that VoIP-Pal amended its antitrust complaint adding RICO

and fraud claims to force a settlement with T-Mobile in the instant case. Mr. Malak spoke

regarding settling the antitrust case. See Dkt. No. 298-1 at 3 of 6. Similarly, Mr. Malak's

statements regarding the unconstitutionality of the America Invents Act were in response to a

question as to why VoIP-Pal has shifted its focus away from its patent cases. Id. at 4 of 6.

Misrepresenting Mr. Malak's statements fails to establish a need for deterrence or any

exceptional conduct under § 285.

Accordingly, the Court should disregard T-Mobile's improvidently file Notice of

allegedly relevant supplemental facts because it does not demonstrate any misconduct nor does it

add support to T-Mobile's claim for attorneys' fees. Rather, T-Mobile's Notice is nothing more

than an improper attempt to harass VoIP-Pal, to conflate unrelated legal actions, and to penalize

VoIP-Pal for exercising its lawful rights.

Dated: January 23, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Lewis E. Hudnell, III

Lewis E. Hudnell, III lewis@hudnelllaw.com

Nicolas S. Gikkas

nick@hudnelllaw.com

Stanley H. Thompson, Jr.

stan@hudnelllaw.com

Hudnell Law Group P.C.

800 W. El Camino Real Suite 180

2

Mountain View, California 94040

T: 650.564.3698 F: 347.772.3034

Sean Franklin Parmenter sean@parmenterip.com Parmenter Intellectual Property Law, PLLC 1401 21st St, Suite #10724 Sacramento, CA 95811 T: 925.482.6515

Mark D. Siegmund
msiegmund@cjsjlaw.com
Craig D. Cherry
ccherry@cjsjlaw.com
Will Ellerman
wellerman@cjsjlaw.com
Cherry Johnson Siegmund James, PLLC
7901 Fish Pond Road, 2nd Floor
Waco, TX 76710
T: (254) 732-2242

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF VOIP-PAL.COM, INC.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served with a copy of the forgoing PLAINTIFF VOIP-PAL.COM'S RESPONSE TO DEFENDANT T-MOBILE'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL FACTS RELEVANT TO ITS MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS via the Court's CM/ECF system pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule CV-5(b)(1) this 23rd day of January, 2025.

By: /s/Lewis E. Hudnell, III
Lewis E. Hudnell, III
lewis@hudnelllaw.com
Hudnell Law Group P.C.
800 W. El Camino Real Suite 180
Mountain View, California 94040

T: 650.564.3698 F: 347.772.3034